Electrical Engineering Department Analysis Report of Employer Feedback Form

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee

Sr. N	o. Parameters	Scale→	1 .	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating
· 1	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of	Qty				1	1	2	
	complying engineering problems	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	5	9	4.50
2	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	Qty				2		2	
	as sea-motivated with creative to investigative approach	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	0	8	4.00
3	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Qty			1	1		2	
	Oses technology and workplace equipment	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	4	0	7	3.50
4	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	Qty				1	1	2	
	involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	5	9 -	4.50
5	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques	Qty		1	27		2	2	4 .
3	recapility in adopting to new methods and techniques	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	0	10	10	5.00
		Qty		-	1	1	-	2	1.49.5
6	Ethical and professional behaviour	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	4	0	7	3.50
7	Overall behaviour with peers	Qty			1	1		2	
	Overall ochavious with peers	Point wise weightage	0	Ô	3	4	0	7	3.50
8	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Qty			4.5	1. 75	2	2	
	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	0	10	10	5.00
9	Planning and organisation skill	Qty	0.1-	1.0	1	1		2	
,	r tamming and organisation skill	Point wise weightage	0	_0	3	4	0	7	3.50
0	Contribution in development of occasionation	Qty			2.	1.1	T	2	falls.
		Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	5	9	4.50

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
	[- 1 등로 보는 1 및 1 T. 1 (1) 나는	Qty			1 1 -	1-	-c =	2	
1	Content and coverage	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	4	0	7	3.50
	Value video value	Qty			1		1	2	1
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Point wise weightage	0 ,	0	3	0	5	8	4.00
	Ordering of the courses	Qty	7 (1 +)			2		2	-1
3		Point wise weightage	0	, 0	0	8 -	0	8	4.00
* V		Qty	***		1		1	2	- W
4	Adequacy of the elective courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	0	5	8	4.00
	the state of the s	Qty	TO SEC.		1	1.51	s 13	2	4
5	사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 되었다면 하는 것이 되었다. 그 그렇게 되었다는 그리고 그리고 있다면 그렇게 되었다면 그 때문에 없었다.	Point wise weightage	0	0 %	3	0	5	8	4.00

Prof. P R. Gajare Dept. Criteria-I Coordinator Prof. R. N. Baji Head of Department





Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kulyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik - 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

	(Late G N S	apkal	Colleg	ge of E	nginee	inng)
	EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM					
· Nama	e of the Company / Institute: Setu Electricals		las	hik	,	
vam	ress: All fost - Dhakambe, Dis	شرامة	200	id.	Nu	slut.
4ddr	ress:	1	1.			
Vam	a of the Evaluating Person! Mr. Kirch Pho	1-12	K.		4-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14	
) a cl	enotion of the Evaluating Person: Pronter Counc	1)				
Desi	act Number: \$668586604 e-mail id: pratic	ishow	clase	421	@ cin	nail.
Cont	act Number: \$668386604)LIUI	icia) c			
	as requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates	your-	level o	of satis	factio	n:
ou a	: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent					
care.	Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee		Licenses estado		Dange of Page 2	P2004 600 200
alex.			A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY	f Satis		n
Sr.	Parameter	11	2	3	4	(1)
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of					
٠.	thing engineering problems				-	
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach				7	
3.	workplace equipment		See St.		~	
4.	Levelvement in social activities by your moustry / mattere		15	h 793		V
5.	Elexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques			~		
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour				V	
7.	Overall behaviour with peers					~
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)					
9.	Planning and organisation skill					
10.	Contribution in development of organisation					,
	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum	402544	evel	of Satis	factio	n
		1 -		3	4	5
Sr.	Parameter				-	
1.	Content and coverage			1		
2.	Adequacy of the core courses					
3.	Ordering of the courses					
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					1
5.	Practical content in the curriculum		•	/		

Critical note for the institute:

Signature & Seal of the Employer

M/S. SETU ELECTRICAL Govt. Electrical Contractor Licence No.: 35566



Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik - 422 213



Dear Employer,

Critical note for the institute:

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

	EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM		1	ATT.	,	
Non	ne of the Company / Institute: Setu electrical	X	ral	nsfi	M	
IVali	ress: At part durumbe D	done	· ~	(11)	No	Thi!
Add	ress:	10017	7.10			
Nan	ne of the Evaluating Person:	rcl	10_			
Desi	ignation of the Evaluating Person:					-
•	tact Number: 8329627549 e-mail id: sonk	elb)PPP	3 gm	oil.c	om_
	are requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicate	your	level o	of satis	faction	1:
You a	: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent					
Scare	Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee	100)		
		TO L	evel o	Satisf	- No 1 - 4	TOTAL STREET
Sr.	Parameter	21	2	3	4	55
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of	_	-	•	~	
	complying engineering problems	7.0				
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach		1000			
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment	-			3.	-
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute			H JA		
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques					
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour	-	Ç			5/2 4
7.	Overall behaviour with peers					1
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)					
9.	Planning and organisation skill		-		V	
10.	Contribution in development of organisation					
•	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum		AND ENGINE	-	A Marchine	acadha Acar ar
		REAL STREET, SAN	Control of the second	of Satis	THE PERSON NAMED IN	Land of the
Sr.	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage			-		
2.	Adequacy of the core courses	-				
3:	Ordering of the courses			-	V	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					-
5.	Practical content in the curriculum	<u></u>		1		The second
		-		A		

Signature & Seal of the Employer

MIS. SETU ELECTRICAL Govt. Electrical Contractor

Licence No.: 35536

Computer Engineering Department Analysis Report of Employer Feedback Form

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee

		Scale®	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating
Sr. No.	Parameters		0	0	0	3	0	3	4,00
	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of	Qty Point wise		0	0	12	0	12	4.00
1	complying engineering problems	weightage	0			2	1	3	
	Is self-motivated with creative &	Qty	0	0	0			13	4.33
2	investigative approach	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	5		
		Qty	0	0	0	3	0	3	4.00
3	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Point wise	0	0	0	12	0	12	
		weightage Qty	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.33
4	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	Point wise	0	0	0	8	5	13	1.55
ì	your industry / institute	weightage		0	0	1	2	3	,
	Flexibility in adopting to new	Qty	0	-	-	+	10	14	4.67
5	methods and techniques	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	-	- 10	
	Ethical and professional	Qty	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.67
6	behaviour	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	10	14	
4.		Qty	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.67
7	Overall behaviour with peers	Point wise	0	0	0	4	10	14	100
- 23		weightage	0	10	0	3	0	3	
8	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Qty Point wise	0	0	0	12	10	12	4.00
	Witten	weightage	-	+	+	+	+-	3	
9	Planning and organisation skill	Qty	0	0	-	+-	+	-	4.33
,	Figuring and organization sum	Point wise weightage	1 0	0	() 8	5	-	
	Contribution in development of	Qty	0	0	() 3	(3	4.00
10	organisation	Point wise		() () 1	2 (12	

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale®	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating
		Qty	0	0 ,	0	3	0	3	4.00
. 1	Content and coverage	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	12	0	12	4.00
	The state of the s	Qty	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.67
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	10	14	4.07
	Ordering of the courses	Qty	0	0		3	0	3	4.00
3		Point wise weightage	0	0	0	12	0	12	y to year o
		Qty	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.33
4	Adequacy of the elective courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	5	13	4.55
	Practical content in the	Qty	0	0	0	2	1	3 '	4.33
5	curriculum	Point wise weightage	0	0	0 0 8	5	13	4.33	

Prof. S.D.Bagade Dept. Criteria-I Coordinator Prof. N.R. Wankhade Head of Department





Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

	EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM								
Nam	e of the Company / Institute: Tata Consultancy Serv	Hees	Lim	ited	رة بدين	Egy I			
Addr	- 0				1 Pur	10.			
Nam	e of the Evaluating Person: Gurish V. Nandymuth								
Desi	gnation of the Evaluating Person: Global Head, Tolent	ادويث	istion	rkA	cade	MCIN			
Cont	Contact Number: 7709045004 e-mail id: golika · vates·com								
	re requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent	s your	level	of sati	sfactio	n:			
	Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee				= = 7. = 7				
Sr.	Parameter	Carolin School School 1		COPPE / 1 - 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10	factio	200,1907,200,000			
		1	2	3	4	5			
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of					7 V			
1	complying engineering problems					1-1-3			
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach								
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment								
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute					/			
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques		6.5						
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour					~			
7.	Overall behaviour with peers			200	_				
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)				~				
9.	Planning and organisation skill	- 1			_				
10.	Contribution in development of organisation								
	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum								
		Standarding.	Level	f Satis	factio	(44) (2 for 8 for			
Sr.	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5			
1.	Content and coverage				_				
2.	Adequacy of the core courses	V		j					
3.	Ordering of the courses								

Critical note for the institute:

Adequacy of the elective courses

Practical content in the curriculum





Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM

	EMPLOTER PEEDBACK FORM					
Nam	e of the Company / Institute: Extensia					
Addr	ess: Extentia Tower, Roa e of the Evaluating Person: Pruyanka (nawali	d 12	AK	lyan	unce	jour,
Nam	e of the Evaluating Person: Pruyanka (nawali	-	- 1			
Desig	nation of the Evaluating Person: HR					
Cont	act Number: 9920536380 e-mail id:	rhage	medi	@gm	rock	om
You a Scale:	re requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent	your	level o	of sati	sfactio	n:
	Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee				- 2	
		L	evel o	f Satis	factio	
Sr.	Parameter	1	2	製3器	4	5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems				/	
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	1 4			=_; ^ '	~
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment				/	
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute		7		/	Fig. 1
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques	-			<u> </u>	
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour					~
7.	Overall behaviour with peers	-				/
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)				/	
9.	Planning and organisation skill	·	1	0		
10.	Contribution in development of organisation		L			
	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum		•			
Sr.	Parameter	Schoolsenson.	Contract Contract	1000	sfactio	and a second
	Section of the Control of the Contro	1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage		-	-	~	
2.	Adequacy of the core courses		2	. /	1	~
3.	Ordering of the courses			75 /	-	1
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					
5.	Practical content in the curriculum			<u></u>		

Critical note for the institute:

Anjanen Mashik M



Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering



Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik - 422 213

Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

Mumbai

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM

Name	e of the Company / Institute:					
Addr	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Kial	Jall	stre	et, K	urlar
Name	e of the Evaluating Person: Himanshi Sah			47.5		
Desig	nation of the Evaluating Person: Senior manager	HR)				2
	e-mail id: <u>sheefal</u>	·bha			•	
Scale:	1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent					
	Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee	1	evel o	f Sati	sfactio	n
Sr.	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems				_	
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach				/	-1-
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment			F 21 110	/	
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	1 - 7			/	
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques		1			
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour			12.	/	
7.	Overall behaviour with peers	-		1	4	
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)	\$.			/	
9.	Planning and organisation skill	-2-			/	
10.	Contribution in development of organisation	- 3			/	
	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum					
Sr.	Parameter		Level o	of Sat	isfactio	n
31.	CONTROL SERVICE AND CONTROL OF THE C	1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage			-	1	
2.	Adequacy of the core courses	200	1 4		/	
3.	Ordering of the courses		1 - 7		/	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses				/	
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					





LATE G.N. SAPKAL COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri-Vadholi, Trimbakeshwar Toad, Dist: Nashik-422212(India) Tel.:+91-2594-220168/71. Fax:+91-2594-220174



Department of civil Engineering Department Analysis Report of Employer Feedback Form Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the	Qty		1	2	5	7	15	4.20
1	solution of complying engineering problems	Point wise weightage	0	2	6	20	35	63	4.20
		Qty			3	2	10	15	4.47
2	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	Point wise weightage	0	0	9	8	50	67	4.47
		Qty	1	1	1	4	8	15	(12
3	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Point wise weightage	1	2	3	16	40	62	4.13
	Involvement in social activities by your industry /	Qty		1	2	2	10	15	4.40
4	institute	Point wise weightage	0	2	6	8	50	66	4.40
	Elevibility in adapting to new methods and techniques	Qty			2	4	9	15	
5	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques	Point wise weightage	0	0	6	16	45	67	4.47
	4.1	Qty		1	1-	5	8	15	x .
6	Ethical and professional behaviour	Point wise weightage	0	2	3	20	40	65	4.33
7	Overall behaviour with peers	Qty			2	3	10	15	4.53
,	Overall behaviour with peers	Point wise weightage	0	0	6	12	50	68	4.53
8	Communication skill (works) (6 conits or)	Qty	1	1	. 1	2	10	15	
8	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Point wise weightage	1.	2	3	8	50	64	4.27
		Qty		1	2	3	9	15	
9	Planning and organisation skill	Point wise weightage	0	2	6	12	45	65	4.33
10		Qty			1	6	8	15	
10	Contribution in development of organisation	Point wise weightage	0	0 ,	3	24	40	67	4.47

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
		Qty			1	5	9	15	
1	Content and coverage	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	20	45	68	4.53
		Qty		1 1	1	3	10	15	
2	dequacy of the core courses	Point wise weightage	0	2	3	12	50	67	4.47
		Qty		-	2	3	10	15	
3	Ordering of the courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	6	12	50	68	4.53
		Qty			1	7	7	15	
4	dequacy of the elective courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	28	35	66 .	4.40
		Qty		1	1	7	6	15	
5	actical content in the curriculum	Point wise weightage	0	2	3	28	30	63	4.20

rof. D.D.Shelke Co ordinator

Prof. Dr.C.K.Shridhar





Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM


Name	e of the Company / Institute:	Struti consuteon	nt.	Pr.	トし	tel,					
	-	college Roa									
Addr	ess:		-		51 1)	- ,					
Name	e of the Evaluating Person:	Er. Ausmish	Par	ole.							
Desig	gnation of the Evaluating Person:	Boset manager									
Cont	act Number: <u>97-30170558</u>	e-mail id: Pable assisted 200 grail. com									
You a	re requested to rate our students wit 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good;	4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent	your	level o	of satis	factio	n:				
	- Part 1 – Fee	on the order	Complete and the	The state of the s	en lought fair nam	arte altrop to					
Sr.	Parameter	A CANADA SECTION	evel of 2	Satis 3	factio 4	n 5					
1.	Ability in applying fundamental k complying engineering problems				V						
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & inv					2					
3.	Uses technology and workplace equ						1				
4.	Involvement in social activities by yo		_		-		5				
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new method										
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour	vas arra coominques		7		1.4	~				
7.	Overall behaviour with peers			B	la i		V				
8.	Communication skill (verbal & writt	en)			The York		V				
9.	Planning and organisation skill										
10.	Contribution in development of org	anisation					-				
	Part 2 – F	eedback regarding Curriculum			-						
Sr.	Parameter		1 1	evel o	f Satis	factio	on 5				
1.	Content and coverage	f/s									
2.	Adequacy of the core courses	7					<u> </u>				
3.	Ordering of the courses										
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses						V				
5.	Practical content in the curriculum										

Critical note for the institute:

STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY



Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering





Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

		_				111-	
Name of the Comp	any / Institute:	Sc	u. B	uldus	2	R'developeds	
Address:		<u>6'cen</u>	Jalvo	Poe	ød,	Jehan circle	10c8m1
Name of the Evalua	nting Person:	mr Sco	1.18h.	BuV	ce	1.	
Designation of the	Evaluating Person:	3	o, en	91me	er		
Contact Number:	9881123558	?	e-m	ail id:	Bur	a salisma relife m	oil.com

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM

You-are requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:

Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

	Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee					
11/1/1/		Lang L	evel c	of Satis	factio	in
Sr.	Parameter	1/1	102	3.	4	5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of	(-				/
	complying engineering problems		(and the same of t	n en		
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	-				,
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment		-			
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute			-	/mara 20004 100	V
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques				1980	V
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour		and the second second	of Calley Street, September 1	Name of the last	
7.	Overall behaviour with peers	CONTRACT CONTRACT		CHINARIA SIN	-	/
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)		MATERIA DE LA COLONIA DE L			V
9.	Planning and organisation skill		CONTRACTOR DO	D AMERICAN COMPANY		
10.	Contribution in development of organisation					

	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum		4								
SYST		Level of Satisfaction									
Sr.	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5					
1.	Content and coverage	a considerately on the	N TANKS AND PARTY.	ASSESSED TO SERVE	AMBERTACE.						
2.	Adequacy of the core courses			- CONTRACTOR OF THE	~						
3.	Ordering of the courses	CONTRACTOR COMPANY	to remergance turner	epondrolleden	ARREST E PERCET						
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses	randar ministrative at the	Maryline Minds and	D COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF THE P	New Street, St	1					
5.	Practical content in the curriculum				Assessment to	V					

Critical note for the institute:

TE UI

Analysis Report of Employer Feedback Form

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee

Sr. No.	Parameters	Senle→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
1	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of	Qty				2	1	3	
	complying engineering problems	Point wise weightage	0 ,	0	0	8	5	13	4.33
2	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	Qty	₩		_		3	3	
	is sen-mouvaied with creative & investigative approach	Point wise weightnge	0	0	0	0	15	15	5.00
3	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Qty				1	2	3	1.45
	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Point wise weightage	i 0 .	0	0	4	10	14	4.67
4	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	Qty			,	2	1	3	
	involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	Point wise weightage	o ′0.,	0.	0	8	. 5	13	4.33
5	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques	Qty	å			3		3	
,	restoring in adopting to new methods and techniques	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	12	0	12	4.00
		· Qty	1 .		10.00	3 .		3	
6	Ethical and professional behaviour	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	12	0	· 12	4.00
7	Overall behaviour with peers	Qty	· .			1	2	3	4.67
ė	O To all terrations with peers	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	10	14	4.67
8	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Qty					3	3	
	Communication skill (veroal & written)	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	0	15	15	5.00
9	Plauning and organisation skill	Qty	:			1	2	3	
,	r ranning and organisation skill	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	10	. 14	4.67
10		Qty	1			2 2	1	3	
10	Contribution in development of organisation	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	5	13	4.33

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
	Z I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Qty				2	1	3	
1	Content and coverage	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	5	13	4.33
		Qty					2	3	
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0 0	4	10	14	4.67
		Qty	_			1	2	3	
3	Ordering of the courses	Point wise weightage	. 0	0	0	4	10	14	4.67
		Qty	e			1	2	- 3	4
4	Adequacy of the elective courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	10	14	4.67
		Qty				1	2	3	
5	Practical content in the curriculum	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	10	14	4.67

Anjaneri Hashik A22 212 Anjaneri A22 212 Anjaneri A32 212

HOD: Prof. S. B. Borse



Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

	EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM					
Name	of the Company / Institute: Sivaranda Ele	ctro	nice	3		
Addre	of the Company/Institute: Sivaranda Ele Deolati Camp, 1	Jas	hik			- 1
Name	of the Evaluating Person: Practeen Chawdin	r	- i			
Desig	e of the Evaluating Person: Pradeep Chawdo R & D Engine	ei	. 47-5.	No. of the		
Conta	act Number: 9545060609 e-mail id: 6C2	20	1950	091	nai	l·cor
	e requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicate 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent	s your	level o	of satis	factio	n:
1.10. O'V 10.1	Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee	all and a contract of	parentille (1973)	C C NOTE	Comment of the state of	
Sr.	Parameter	1	evel o	3	4	n 5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems	17			1234	~
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach				11	~
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment	ging in				1
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	4.		1.7	~	
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques			-	~	
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour				V	
7.	Overall behaviour with peers					V
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)		1 1 1 1 2 2	S.,	-	·V
9.	Planning and organisation skill		1 7	A.		V
10.	Contribution in development of organisation			1		V
	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum					
Sr.	Parameter		Level	I TO THE REAL PROPERTY.	factio	on 5
Parker.		1	2	3.	100	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum										
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction									
語点	Parameter	11	2	3	4	5					
1.	Content and coverage		1			V					
2.	Adequacy of the core courses	3. 1				V					
3.	Ordering of the courses					V					
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					V					
5.	Practical content in the curriculum	928	17.5			/					

Critical note for the institute:





Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM

Name of the Company / Institute:	Amazon Developme					4
Address:	Somnam Nagar, Wa				~i; (une
Name of the Evaluating Person:	Abhisher Miline				A	
Designation of the Evaluating Person:	Customer Support	+ /	Lif	ocia	It	
You are requested to rate our students wi Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good;	th a tick in the box that indicates					
Part 1 – Fee	dback regarding the Employee					
COLOR OF THE PERSON OF THE PER		L'S L	evel o	f Satis	factio	n
Sr. Parameter		£1 %	2	3	4	5
Ability in applying fundamental leading complying engineering problems	knowledge in the solution of			: E - a	V	
2. Is self-motivated with creative & inv	vestigative approach	Q			-	

1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of		- 40g		1	
	complying engineering problems			1000		1/
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	Printer.			-	
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment					V
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute			.725.1		V
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques					
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour	10.50	1300	10	V	
7.	Overall behaviour with peers		3 .		V	
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)					V
9.	Planning and organisation skill	13.00			V	
10.	Contribution in development of organisation	1			V	<u> </u>

	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum					-
TOP!		A L	evel o	f Satis	factio	n
Sr.	Parameter	1.1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage				V	
2.	Adequacy of the core courses					V
3.	Ordering of the courses		1 A 4		V	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses		2-		~	
5.	Practical content in the curriculum	VIX 4				1

Critical note for the institute:



Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Sapkal Knowledge Hub, Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 212 (MS) India Teli 02594 – 220164, 220173-75, Faxi 220174 E-maili gns_engineering@sapkalknowledgehub.org | www.sapkalknoledgehub.org



Department of Mechanical Engineering Course: BE Mechanical Engg. Academic Year: 2022-23

Analysis Report of Employer Feedback Form Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee

Scale: 1- Strongly disagree: 2 - Disagree: 3 - Neutral: 4- Agree: 5 - Strongly agree

	Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 - Disagree; 3 -	Noutral; 4- A	gro	0) 5	-:	troi	RIAG	Brea	
Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale->	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
	and the second s	Qty	0	0	1	7	3	11	
1	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	28	15	46	4.18
	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative	Qty	0	0	0	2	9	11	
2	approach	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	45	53	4.82
		Qty	0	0	1	4	6	11	
3	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	16	30	49	4,45
	Involvement in social activities by your industry /	Qty	0	0	1	4	6	11	
4	institute	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	16	30	49	4,45
	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and	Qty	0	0	0	4	7	- 11	
5	techniques	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	16	35	51	4.64
	/	Qty	0	0	3	2	G	11	
6	Ethical and professional behaviour	Point wise weightage	0	0	9	8	30	47	4.27
		Qty	0	0	1	4	6	11	
7	Overall behaviour with peers	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	16	30	49	4,45
		Qty	0	0	0	5	6	11	and the same
8	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	20	30	50	4.55
5		Qty	0	0	1	4	6	11	
9	Planning and organisation skill	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	16	30	49	4.45
		Qty	0	0	1	6	4	11 ,	
10	Contribution in development of organisation	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	24	20	47	4.27



Part 2 -Feedback regarding Curriculum

Scale: 1- Strongly disagree: 2 - Disagree: 3 - Neutral: 4- Agree: 5 - Strongly agree

	Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 – Disagree; 3 –	Neutral, 4- F	5	c, .	_	3110	.6.1	06.00	
Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale->	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
		Qty	0	0	0	4	7	11	
1	Content and coverage	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	16	35	51	4.64
_		Qty	0	0	0	6	5	11	
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	24	25	49	4.45
		Qty	0	0	1	3	7	11	
3	Ordering of the courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	12	35	50	4.55
	Adams of the second	Qty	0	0	0	5	6	11	
4	Adequacy of the elective courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	20	30	50	4.55
5	Description of the state of the	Qty	0	0	1	3	7	11	
3	Practical content in the curriculum	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	12	35	50	4.55

Prof. J. R. Mahajan Dept. Coordinator

Anjaneri Nasnik 422 212

Prof. Dr. T. Y. Badgujar

Prof.(Dr.) Saliebrao B. Bagal Principal Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering Anjaneri, Nashik-422 213.



Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik - 422 213



Dear Employer,

6.

7.

8.

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

	(Late G N S	Sapkal	Colle	ge of E	ngine	ering)		
	EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM							
Name	e of the Company / Institute: SATISH TOYS	manufaming						
Addr	ess: <u>VIII.ohli. Nouhil</u>	(ه ل			
Name	e of the Evaluating Person: <u>+ Shan Son a u</u>	an	2)		e 55			
Desig	gnation of the Evaluating Person: Quality Engine	rem						
Cont	act Number: 918086106 e-mail id: 48	har	73c	nus	moi	999		
	re requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates : 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent	your	level	of sati	sfactio	on: gm		
4.	Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee	3		-				
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction			n			
		1	2	3	4	5.		
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems		7, 4		1			
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach		-	-				
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment	1.39		1	L			
4	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	-	121 Page					

10.	Contribution in development of organisation		` _	14,	1/			
= :	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum					100		
Sr.		Level of Satisfaction						
Mi Thi		1	2	3	4	5		
1.	Content and coverage					L		
2.	Adequacy of the core courses					. ,		
3.	Ordering of the courses			2				
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses				1/			
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					1		

Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques

Ethical and professional behaviour

Communication skill (verbal & written)

Overall behaviour with peers

Planning and organisation skill

Critical note for the institute:





Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213



Dear Employer,

Name of the Company / Institute:

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

Milliemium praision Auto put tent

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM

Add	dress: malegan 51	nnon	/			-9				
Name of the Evaluating Person: Makegan Sinnor Sandop R. Patil.										
Des	ignation of the Evaluating Person: Deportmental e-mail id:	On	reluc	hen)					
Con	e-mail id:	rech	uls 1	Coefy.	MA	09 @	grou			
You	are requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that in e: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excell	dicates ent					,			
Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee Level of Satisfaction										
Šr.,	Parameter		1	The second second	Satis	4	n 5			
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution complying engineering problems	on of				V				
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach			Topson			U	,		
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment						/			
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	mil.		The state of		V				
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques			100	A	-	V			
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour						V			
7.	Overall behaviour with peers	311					V			
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)			1 13		V				
9.	Planning and organisation skill	11 h			145	_	V			
10.	Contribution in development of organisation		1			V				
	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curricu	ılum								
		1 2 2 3 7	L	evel o	f Satis	factio	n	1		
Sr.	Parameter		1023	003-552	The Carlo	1		۱		

4. Adequacy of the elective courses5. Practical content in the curriculum

Critical note for the institute:

Content and coverage

Ordering of the courses

Adequacy of the core courses

1.

2.

3.

